

**Summative Report for
Grant #060-2448B-8CCC6**

**Coconut Creek High School and Lauderdale Lakes
Middle School of Broward County**

Project Director – Tresha Fletcher

Compiled by Dr. John Enger

8/15/2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 OVERVIEW AND HISTORY	1
2.0 STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	1
2.1 <i>Total Student Enrollment and Attendance</i>	1
Table 1. <i>Student Enrollment: Total and Regularly Participating Students for Summer 2017 and School Year 2017-2018</i>	2
2.2 <i>Student Demographics</i>	2
Table 2. <i>Student Demographics for Total Participating Students (All Students Served) and Regularly Participating Students</i>	2
Table 3. <i>Students with Special Needs: Total Participating Students</i>	3
Table 4. <i>Students with Special Needs: Regularly Participating Students</i>	3
Table 5. <i>Student Race and Ethnicity: Total and Regularly Participating Students</i>	4
Table 6. <i>Student Grade for Total Participating Students</i>	4
Table 7. <i>Student Grade for Regularly Participating Students</i>	5
Table 8. <i>Free/Reduced Lunch Status of Total Participating Students</i>	5
Table 9. <i>Free/Reduced Lunch Status of Regularly Participating Students</i>	5
3.0 PROGRAM OPERATIONS	6
3.1 <i>Summer Operation</i>	6
Table 10. <i>Summer 2017 Operation</i>	6
3.2 <i>School Year: 2017-17 Operation</i>	6
Table 11. <i>School Year 2017-2018 Operation</i>	6
4.0 STAFF CHARACTERISTICS	7
4.1 <i>Staff Demographics</i>	7
Table 12. <i>Regular Staff by Paid and Volunteer Status. Coconut Creek High School</i>	7
Table 13. <i>Regular Staff by Paid and Volunteer Status. Lauderdale Lakes Middle School</i>	7
4.2 <i>Students-to-Staff Ratio</i>	8
4.3 <i>Staff Training</i>	8
4.4 <i>Staff Turnover</i>	8
4.5 <i>Certified Teachers</i>	9

5.0 OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES	10
5.1 Objectives and Activities	10
Table 14. Objectives and Description of Activities.....	10
5.2 Data Collection Methods	11
5.3 Data Analysis and Results: Progress Toward and Achievement of Objectives	12
Table 15. Language Arts Grades - Lauderdale Lakes Middle 21st CCLC.	13
Table 16. Language Arts Grades - Coconut Creek High 21st CCLC.....	14
Table 17. Math Grades - Lauderdale Lakes Middle 21st CCLC.	15
Table 18. Math Grades - Coconut Creek High 21st CCLC.....	16
Table 19. Science Grades - Lauderdale Lakes Middle 21st CCLC.....	17
Table 20. Science - Coconut Creek High 21st CCLC.	18
5.4 Other Findings.....	21
Parent Surveys.....	21
Teacher Surveys.....	22
Student Surveys	23
Table 21. Student Survey Results.	23
Table 22. Middle and High School Student Survey Results.....	24
5.5 Student Success Snapshot.....	25
5.6 Overall Findings for Each Objective.....	25
6.0 PROGRESS TOWARD SUSTAINABILITY	25
6.1 Partners	25
Table 23. Partnerships and Sub-Contracts.....	26
7.0 LESSONS LEARNED AND RECOMMENDATIONS	27
21 st CCLC program impact	27
Lessons Learned	27
Recommendations.....	28

2017-2018 Coconut Creek High School and Lauderdale Lakes Middle School 21st Century Community Learning Centers Summative Evaluation

1.0 OVERVIEW AND HISTORY

The purpose of this evaluation is to report the summative 2017-2018, second year findings of the approved 21st Century Community Learning Center (21st CCLC) continuing grant for Coconut Creek High School and Lauderdale Lakes Middle School. The project is funded by a multi-year grant from the 21st CCLC Program through the Florida Department of Education, Bureau of Family and Community Outreach. The grant reporting period covered in this report is from June 13, 2017 through June 7, 2018.

Agencies receiving this award are required to establish or expand 21st CCLC programs that provide at-risk students opportunities for academic enrichment, personal enrichment, and complement students' standard academic programs. The 21st CCLC program also engages adult family members of actively participating students through educational and personal development opportunities. The 21st CCLC programs provide safe environments for students during non-school hours and may have one or multiple centers/sites. Program sites may be located in schools, community facilities, and/or faith-based facilities. Centers must provide a range of high-quality services to support student learning and development, including, but not limited to: tutoring and mentoring, academic enrichment (e.g., homework assistance, reading, math, science, and technology programs), music, art, service learning, character education, physical education and recreational activities, and dropout prevention.

2.0 STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

2.1 Total Student Enrollment and Attendance

Federal and state reporting requires the tracking of students in two categories: total enrolled students and regularly participating students. Total enrolled or "enrollment" in the program is categorized by student attendance of at least one day in the 21st CCLC program during the program reporting period. "Regularly participating" is categorized as student attendance of more than 30-days throughout the program reporting period. Student monthly attendance for each center was submitted electronically and aggregated for reporting by component and category. This award

was for two schools, one high school (Coconut Creek) and the other middle school (Lauderdale Lakes). As shown in Table 1, a total of 588 students were in attendance at least one day during the project reporting period, and 204 students participated 30 or more days. Overall, 20.9% high school students participated 30 or more days and 54.8% of the enrolled middle school students participated 30 or more days.

Table 1. Student Enrollment: Total and Regularly Participating Students for Summer 2017 and School Year 2017-2018.

Site Name	Total Enrolled Attending (at least one day)				Regularly Participating Enrollment (30 days or more)			
	Summer Only	School Year Only	Both Summer AND School Year	Total	Summer Only	School Year Only	Both Summer AND School Year	Total
Coconut Creek	38	274	37	349	0	63	10	73
Lauderdale Lakes	29	120	90	239	2	65	64	131
Total	67	394	127	588	2	128	74	204

Note. Unduplicated counts shown. Students attending/enrolled in both operation periods are only reported under Summer AND School Year. Only Summer + Only School Year + Summer AND School Year = Total.

2.2 Student Demographics

As shown in Table 2, the percentages by gender for the regularly participating high school students (Coconut Creek) were 50.7% male and 49.3% female. Of the regularly participating middle school students (Lauderdale Lakes), 51.1% were male and 48.9% were female.

Table 2. Student Demographics for Total Participating Students (All Students Served) and Regularly Participating Students.

Site Name	Total Participating Students			Regularly Participating Students		
	Gender			Gender		
	Male	Female	DK*	Male	Female	DK*
Coconut Creek	202	147	0	37	36	0
Lauderdale Lakes	121	118	0	67	64	0
Total	323	265	0	104	100	0

*DK = Don't Know.

As shown in Table 3, 38.4% of the enrolled high school students (Coconut Creek) were identified as Limited English Proficient (LEP), and 11.7% were identified as having a disability. Also, 2.5% of the enrolled middle students (Lauderdale Lakes) were identified as Limited English Proficient (LEP), and 1.3% were identified as having a disability.

Table 3. Students with Special Needs: Total Participating Students.

Site Name	Limited English Proficient			Identified with Disability		
	Yes	No	DK*	Yes	No	DK*
Coconut Creek	134	215	0	41	308	0
Lauderdale Lakes	6	233	0	3	236	0
Total	140	448	0	44	544	0

*DK = Don't Know.

As shown in Table 4, 26.0% of the regularly participating high school students (Coconut Creek) were identified as Limited English Proficient (LEP), and 8.2% were identified as having a disability. Also, 3.8% of the regularly participating middle students (Lauderdale Lakes) were identified as Limited English Proficient (LEP), and 2.3% were identified as having a disability.

Table 4. Students with Special Needs: Regularly Participating Students.

Site Name	Limited English Proficient			Identified with Disability		
	Yes	No	DK*	Yes	No	DK*
Coconut Creek	19	54	0	6	67	0
Lauderdale Lakes	5	126	0	3	128	0
Total	24	180	0	9	195	0

*DK = Don't Know.

As shown in Table 5, most of the enrolled high school students (Coconut Creek) were identified as Black or African American (85.1%), and for regularly participating students 84.9% were identified as Black or African American. At the middle school level (Lauderdale Lakes), 96.5% of enrolled students were identified as Black or African American and 98.4% of the regularly participating students were identified as Black or African American.

Table 5. Student Race and Ethnicity: Total and Regularly Participating Students.

Site Name	Total Participating Students							Regularly Participating Students						
	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	White or Caucasian American	Multiracial	DK	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	White or Caucasian American	Multiracial	DK
Coconut Creek	3	4	296	23	14	8	1	2	2	62	2	3	2	0
Lauderdale Lakes	0	1	223	7	0	0	8	0	1	122	1	0	0	7
Total	3	5	519	30	14	8	9	2	3	184	3	3	2	7
<i>* Ethnicity categories are non-exclusive; students can be identified under multiple ethnicities.</i>														

As shown in Table 6, the distribution of enrolled students at the high school (Coconut Creek) was 34.1% in grade 9, 24.1% in grade 10, 16.9% in grade 11, and 24.9% in grade 12. The distribution of enrolled students at the middle school (Lauderdale Lakes) was 0.4% in grade 2, 0.8% in grade 4, 1.3% in grade 5, 39.3% in grade 6, 31.0% in grade 7, 25.9% in grade 8, and 1.3% in grade 9.

Table 6. Student Grade for Total Participating Students.

Site Name	Grade in School*													
	PK	K	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	8 th	9 th	10 th	11 th	12 th
Coconut Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	119	84	59	87
Lauderdale Lakes	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	94	74	62	3	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	94	74	62	122	84	59	87
<i>* Grade levels are exclusive, as students can only be in one grade level. The total number of students where grade level is unknown are not indicated but can be derived from this table.</i>														

As shown in Table 7, the distribution of regularly participating students at the high school (Coconut Creek) was 37.0% in grade 9, 31.5% in grade 10, 16.4% in grade 11, and 15.1% in grade 12. The distribution of regularly participating students at the middle school (Lauderdale Lakes) was 36.6% in grade 6, 35.1% in grade 7, 27.5% in grade 8, and 0.8% in grade 9.

Table 7. Student Grade for Regularly Participating Students.

Site Name	Grade in School*													
	PK	K	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	8 th	9 th	10 th	11 th	12 th
Coconut Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	23	12	11
Lauderdale Lakes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	46	36	1	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	46	36	28	23	12	11

** Grade levels are exclusive, as students can only be in one grade level. The total number of students where grade level is unknown are not indicated but can be derived from this table.*

As show in Table 8, The percentage of enrolled students identified as qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch (FRL) at the high school (Coconut Creek) was 82.2% and at the middle school (Lauderdale Lakes) was 78.9%.

Table 8. Free/Reduced Lunch Status of Total Participating Students.

Site Name	Free or Reduced-Price Lunch		
	Yes	No	DK*
Coconut Creek	267	58	24
Lauderdale Lakes	56	15	168
Total	323	73	192

*DK = Don't Know.

As show in Table 9, The percentage of regularly participating students identified as qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch (FRL) at the high school (Coconut Creek) was 63.9%. The percentage of regularly participating students qualifying for FRL at the middle school (Lauderdale Lakes) was 80.0%.

Table 9. Free/Reduced Lunch Status of Regularly Participating Students.

Site Name	Free or Reduced-Price Lunch		
	Yes	No	DK*
Coconut Creek	39	22	12
Lauderdale Lakes	40	10	81
Total	79	32	93

*DK = Don't Know.

3.0 PROGRAM OPERATIONS

3.1 Summer Operation

Federal and state reporting guidelines require the reporting of typical operations. The 21st CCLC 2017-2018 Request for Application guidelines state that each proposed learning center is required to operate a minimum of “four days per week,” including summer. Overall, as shown in Table 8 this Broward high school summer program (Coconut Creek) ran 4 hours per day and 4 days per week. This Broward middle school afterschool program (Lauderdale Lakes) ran 8.5 hours per day and 4 days per week.

Table 10. Summer 2017 Operation.

Site Name	Total number of weeks THIS center was open	Typical number of days per week THIS center was open	Typical number of hours per week THIS center was open on:		
			WEEKDAYS	WEEKDAY EVENINGS	WEEKENDS
Coconut Creek	6	4	16	-	-
Lauderdale Lakes	7	4	34	-	-

3.2 School Year: 2017-17 Operation

Program guidance states that each high school center must operate a minimum of 12 afterschool hours per week and each middle/high center must operate a minimum of 9 hours per week. Overall, as shown in Table 10 this Broward high school afterschool program (Coconut Creek) ran 2.5 hours per day and 4 days per week. This Broward middle school afterschool program (Lauderdale Lakes) ran 3.08 hours per day and 4 days per week.

Table 11. School Year 2017-2018 Operation.

Site Name	Total # weeks THIS site was open	Total # days THIS site was open	Typical # days per week THIS site was open	Typical # hours per week THIS site was open				Total # days THIS site operated			
				Before School	During School	After School	Weekends / Holidays	Before School	During School	After School	Weekends/ Holidays
Coconut Creek	34	134	4	-	-	10	-	-	-	134	-
Lauderdale Lakes	34	134	4	-	-	12.32	-	-	-	134	-

4.0 STAFF CHARACTERISTICS

4.1 Staff Demographics

The Broward County 21st CCLC projects maintained a consistent staff while adhering to their approved budget and staff to student ratios. Based on review of project schedules, certified teachers were utilized in all academic components, as required by FLDOE, for at least one hour per day. For 2017-2018 school year, there were 9 paid staff members and no volunteers at Coconut Creek high school, as shown in Table 12. There were 22 paid staff members and no volunteers at Lauderdale Lakes Middle Schools, as shown in Table 13.

Table 12. Regular Staff by Paid and Volunteer Status. Coconut Creek High School

Staff Type	Summer of 2017		2017-2018 School Year	
	Paid ¹	Volunteer	Paid ¹	Volunteer
School day teachers (former and substitute)	6	0	5	0
Center administrators and coordinators	2	0	2	0
Other non-teaching school day staff	2	0	1	0
Parents	0	0	0	0
College Students	0	0	0	0
High School Students	0	0	0	0
Community Members	0	0	0	0
Subcontracted Staff	0	0	0	0
Other	1	0	1	0
Total	11	0	9	0

Table 13. Regular Staff by Paid and Volunteer Status. Lauderdale Lakes Middle School

Staff Type	Summer of 2017		2017-2018 School Year	
	Paid ¹	Volunteer	Paid ¹	Volunteer
School day teachers (former and substitute)	5	0	9	0
Center administrators and coordinators	1	0	1	0
Other non-teaching school day staff	6	0	6	0
Parents	0	0	0	0
College Students	0	0	0	0
High School Students	0	0	0	0
Community Members	0	0	0	0
Subcontracted Staff	0	0	0	0
Other	2	0	6	0
Total	14	0	22	0

¹For all staff categories *except* "Other", report only staff paid with 21st CCLC funds. These categories represent the regular responsibilities of program staff during the regular school day.

4.2 Students-to-Staff Ratio

The proposed academic and personal enrichment ratio was 20:1 for both centers. Based on the 30 or more days student enrollment criteria, the student to staff ratio at Coconut Creek High was 14.6:1 and the student to staff ratio at Lauderdale Lakes Middle was 14.5:1. Observations at site visitations confirmed that the proposed student to staff ratios were not exceeded.

4.3 Staff Training

District staff participated in training facilitated by the Florida Department of Education Program Development Specialists in July 2017 that outlined the FLDOE Program Development Specialists' roles of consultation, capacity building, coordination, and collaboration. The resources from this training were shared with center coordinators. Finally, professional development logs were kept for each staff member throughout the year. Staff members participated in a variety of training relevant to their certifications and status within the 21st CCLC program.

Staff orientation prior to the start of the school year (August 2017) provided an overview of policies, procedures, and expectations for all new and returning staff. Topics covered included the 21st CCLC Staff Handbook, required monthly deliverables, staffing and payroll, transportation, and recruitment and retention. District partners, Magnobrain and Commons Threads, presented their curricula and how it was to be implemented in the afterschool program.

In October 2017, staff reviewed site visit expectations, required center documentation, the status of the 2017-18 21st CCLC grant awards, and the status of hardship waivers. Upcoming deliverables were discussed, attendance procedures were addressed, and objective compliance was re-iterated. Additionally, the 21st CCLC filing system was introduced, and the staff was encouraged to bring forward their ideas and concerns.

In November 2017, center coordinators reviewed the aforementioned deliverables, adherence to attendance recording, and formalized policies and procedures. Staff were advised of the implementation of a SharePoint server and the status of budgets, staffing, and payroll. AEP Books and Media shared curriculum information. And, Dr. John Enger, the 21st CCLC outside evaluator, spoke on the importance of accurately collected data. Finally, staff learned how to infuse Social Emotional Learning (SEL) into their 21st CCLC programs.

In February 2018, center coordinators and District administrators reviewed the aforementioned deliverables, adherence to attendance recording, and formalized policies and procedures. Data collection accuracy for the baseline, midyear, and end-of-year reports was reviewed. Adrienna Dixson-Paul, a Response to Intervention (RtI) Specialist, facilitated professional development on the RtI process in relation to out of school time programming.

In May 2018, staff participated in the Summer Food Service Program provided by Flipany. Each center received a site training manual with nutrition guidelines, food delivery instructions, and documentation procedures. Christopher Gates, independent author, facilitated professional development on Social Emotional Learning – presenting opportunities for integration into out of school time programming. Finally, staff were brief on the upcoming FLDOE statewide conference.

Later in May 2018, District administrators reviewed center site visitation and documentation. Plans were discussed for summer schedules, summer field trips, summer staffing, recruitment and retention. Final family nights and advisory council meetings were reviewed. Program changes and outcomes for the upcoming year were reviewed and discussed to allow alignment with proposed activity changes. Later in the month, the program evaluator joined the District administrators to discuss summer programming, RFA submissions, and consultant agreements.

4.4 Staff Turnover

No staff turnover was estimated during the 2017-2018 21st CCLC project year at Coconut Creek High School with 27.8% ($n=5$) staff members stating they “Don’t Know” if they replaced a staff member. Turnover was estimated at 10.7% ($n=3$) for Lauderdale Lakes Middle School with 21.4% ($n=6$) staff members stating they “Don’t Know” if they replaced a staff member.

4.5 Certified Teachers

Each of the 21st CCLC teaching staff at Coconut Creek High School holds a valid State of Florida Professional Educator’s Certificate. All academic instruction was provided by these 5 certified teachers at Coconut Creek High School.

Each of the 21st CCLC teaching staff at Lauderdale Lakes Middle School holds a valid State of Florida Professional Educator’s Certificate. All academic instruction was provided by 9 certified teachers at Lauderdale Lakes Middle School.

5.0 OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES

5.1 Objectives and Activities

Table 14. Objectives and Description of Activities.

Objective	Description of Activities
60% of regularly participating students will improve to a satisfactory English/language arts (ELA) grade or above or maintain a high grade across the program year.	Academic Remediation Homework & Tutoring ELA Curriculum Teacher created PBL
60% of regularly participating students will improve to a satisfactory mathematics grade or above or maintain a high grade across the program year.	Academic Remediation Homework & Tutoring Math Curriculum Teacher created PBL
60% of regularly participating students will improve to a satisfactory science grade or above or maintain a high grade across the program year.	Academic Remediation Homework & Tutoring Science Curriculum Teacher created PBL
65% of regularly participating students enrolled in Algebra 1 will pass the Algebra 1 End-of-course (EOC) exam.	Academic Remediation Homework & Tutoring Algebra Curriculum Teacher created PBL
80% of regularly participating students will graduate within their 4-year cohort.	Academic Remediation Tutoring
85% of regularly participating students will maintain high performance or improve their disciplinary problems as measured by school/district records.	Character Counts
80% of regularly participating students will report their engagement in career exploration as measured by perceptual survey (student).	Linking Education and Employment Outcomes (LEEO) project
90% of regularly participating students will maintain high performance or improve their attendance as measured by school/district records.	Character Counts
65% of participating family members will increase their involvement in student education as measured by perceptual survey (parent).	Family Night Events ELA, Math, and STEM common thread events

5.2 Data Collection Methods

5.2.1 Measures and Data Collected:

Coconut Creek High School and Lauderdale Lakes Middle School administration signed and executed a data sharing agreement provided by 21st CCLC District Administration at the inception of the 2017-2018 school year. The data sharing agreement outlined the requirement that both centers collect monthly attendance; parent night attendance logs; parent night knowledge surveys; quarterly academic and conduct grades; and 21st CCLC Federal Parent, Teacher, and Student surveys. Personal enrichment surveys that included health, fitness, and conduct were collect online and imported into a student platform that compiled student attendance and objective assessment data.

5.2.2 Data Collection Timeline:

The data collection timeline mirrored the state reporting periods of October 2017, January 2018, and May 2018. The District data coordinator worked diligently to complete data when students were newly enrolled in the program. Academic measures were updated quarterly as students enrolled in the program.

5.2.3 Data Quality:

The district data coordinator ensured that academic grades were recorded with accuracy. The evaluation team provided oversight of personal enrichment objective assessments through an online survey portal. Center staff recorded daily attendance via an online platform that included aligned student demographics. All data was combined to mirror required state and federal reporting. All students completed objective assessments upon entry into the program or during the first data reporting period.

5.2.4 Continuous Assessment:

Site visitation reports were provided following each center visit to review areas in need of improvement, best practices, and attendance. Parent night knowledge surveys were provided to the evaluation team for entry and analysis of topics covered. Baseline data was reviewed for data completeness. Midyear data was analyzed to review objective assessment progress. End of year data was analyzed to ascertain achievement of the stated objectives.

5.2.5 Student Inclusion:

Student representatives were included on the 21st CCLC Advisory Board as required. Student interviews were conducted during site visitation to garner feedback regarding enjoyability and helpfulness of activities. Additionally, a small sample of students were selected and interviewed for the student snapshot with one student's responses highlighted. Federal Student Surveys were administered and compiled to quantify student feedback of activities, climate, and the benefit of the program activities.

5.3 Data Analysis and Results: Progress Toward and Achievement of Objectives

To assess the extent to which the approved 2017-2018 program objectives were met, the following data was collected and analyzed: monthly attendance; parent night knowledge surveys; quarterly academic (language arts, math, and science); District out of school suspension records; District unexcused absence records; District high school graduation records; career and college readiness student perceptions; and 21st CCLC Federal Parent, Teacher, and Student surveys.

Goal 1: Improve Student Academic Performance

1. Objective 1: The performance objective for Language Arts was stated as follows, "By the end of the program year, 60% of regularly participating students will improve to a satisfactory English Language Arts grade or above, or maintain a high grade across the program year." The success criterion assigned to this objective was "maintain an A/B grade or improve from a grade of C to B or a grade of D/F to C (or grading scale equivalents)."

Table 15, 93 out of 122 or 76.2% of regularly participating Lauderdale Lakes students met this expectation, thus the objective criterion (60%) was met.

Table 15. Language Arts Grades - Lauderdale Lakes Middle 21st CCLC.

Initial LA Grades	Qtr. 4 Language Arts Grades								Total for Initial
	A	B+	B	C+	C	D+	D	F	
A	21 17.2%	2 1.6%	6 4.9%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	31 25.4%
B+	5 4.1%	1 0.8%	6 4.9%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 10.7%
B	18 14.8%	2 1.6%	17 13.9%	3 2.5%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	44 36.1%
C+	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 4.1%
C	2 1.6%	1 0.8%	5 4.1%	1 0.8%	12 9.8%	1 0.8%	3 2.5%	0 0.0%	25 20.5%
D+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
D	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 3.3%
F	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total for Qtr. 4	47 38.5%	8 6.6%	37 30.3%	5 4.1%	17 13.9%	3 2.5%	4 3.3%	1 0.8%	122 100.0%

As shown in Table 16, 11 out of 20 or 55.0% of regularly participating Coconut Creek students met this expectation, thus the objective criterion (60%) was not met.

Table 16. Language Arts Grades - Coconut Creek High 21st CCLC.

Initial LA Grades	Qtr. 4 Language Arts Grades								Total for Initial
	A	B+	B	C+	C	D+	D	F	
A	2 10.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 20.0%
B+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%
B	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.0%	2 10.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	6 30.0%
C+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
C	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
D+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	2 10.0%
D	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.0%	4 20.0%
F	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	3 15.0%
Total for Qtr. 4	2 10.0%	0 0.0%	6 30.0%	4 20.0%	3 15.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 25.0%	20 100.0%

2. **Objective 2:** The performance objective for math was stated as follows, “By the end of the program year, 60% regularly participating students will improve to a satisfactory mathematics grade or above, or maintain a high grade across the program year.” The success criterion assigned to this objective was “maintain an A/B grade or improve from a grade of C to B or a grade of D/F to C (or grading scale equivalents).”

As shown in Table 17, 78 out of 121 or 64.5% of regularly participating Lauderdale Lakes students met this expectation, thus the objective criterion (60%) was met.

Table 17. Math Grades - Lauderdale Lakes Middle 21st CCLC.

Initial Math Grades	Qtr. 4 Math Grades								Total for Initial
	A	B+	B	C+	C	D+	D	F	
A	16 13.2%	1 0.8%	5 4.1%	2 1.7%	4 3.3%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	29 24.0%
B+	5 4.1%	0 0.0%	5 4.1%	2 1.7%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 10.7%
B	7 5.8%	4 3.3%	15 12.4%	4 3.3%	5 4.1%	0 0.0%	3 2.5%	0 0.0%	38 31.4%
C+	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	3 2.5%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	7 5.8%
C	2 1.7%	1 0.8%	8 6.6%	4 3.3%	7 5.8%	1 0.8%	2 1.7%	0 0.0%	25 20.7%
D+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	2 1.7%	0 0.0%	4 3.3%
D	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	5 4.1%
F	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total for Qtr. 4	31 25.6%	7 5.8%	34 28.1%	13 10.7%	25 20.7%	1 0.8%	9 7.4%	1 0.8%	121 100.0%

As shown in Table 18, 15 out of 28 or 53.6% of regularly participating Coconut Creek students met this expectation, thus the objective criterion (60%) was not met.

Table 18. Math Grades - Coconut Creek High 21st CCLC.

Initial Math Grades	Qtr. 4 Math Grades								Total for Initial
	A	B+	B	C+	C	D+	D	F	
A	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%
B+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
B	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 10.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	5 17.9%
C+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%
C	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 14.3%
D+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%	3 10.7%
D	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	3 10.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	5 17.9%
F	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	3 10.7%	2 7.1%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%	8 28.6%
Total for Qtr. 4	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	6 21.4%	2 7.1%	9 32.1%	3 10.7%	1 3.6%	6 21.4%	28 100.0%

3. **Objective 5:** The performance objective for science was stated as follows, “By the end of the program year, 60% regularly participating students will improve to a satisfactory science grade or above, or maintain a high grade across the program year.” The success criterion assigned to this objective was “maintain an A/B grade or improve from a grade of C to B or a grade of D/F to C (or grading scale equivalents).”

As shown in Table 19, 94 out of 122 or 77.0% of regularly participating middle school students (Lauderdale Lakes) met this expectation, thus the objective criterion (60%) was met.

Table 19. Science Grades - Lauderdale Lakes Middle 21st CCLC.

Initial Science Grades	Qtr. 4 Science Grades								Total for Initial
	A	B+	B	C+	C	D+	D	F	
A	23 18.9%	3 2.5%	10 8.2%	3 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	40 32.8%
B+	5 4.1%	0 0.0%	3 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 6.6%
B	13 10.7%	3 2.5%	8 6.6%	3 2.5%	6 4.9%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	36 29.5%
C+	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 3.3%
C	5 4.1%	5 4.1%	7 5.7%	1 0.8%	8 6.6%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	27 22.1%
D+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	2 1.6%
D	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%
F	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 3.3%
Total for Qtr. 4	47 38.5%	13 10.7%	32 26.2%	8 6.6%	16 13.1%	1 0.8%	3 2.5%	2 1.6%	122 100.0%

As shown in Table 20, 7 out of 15 or 46.7% of regularly participating high school students (Coconut Creek) met this expectation, thus the objective criterion (60%) was not met.

Table 20. Science - Coconut Creek High 21st CCLC.

Initial Science Grades	Qtr. 4 Science Grades								Total for Initial
	A	B+	B	C+	C	D+	D	F	
A	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 26.7%
B+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
B	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 13.3%
C+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
C	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	2 13.3%
D+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
D	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	4 26.7%
F	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 13.3%	2 13.3%
Total for Qtr. 4	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	3 20.0%	2 13.3%	2 13.3%	1 6.7%	2 13.3%	3 20.0%	15 100.0%

4. Objective 4: By the end of the program year, 75% of regularly participating students enrolled in Algebra I will pass the Algebra I End of Course (EOC) exam. The success criterion assigned to this objective was “students achieve an Algebra I EOC score sufficient to pass this requirement.

Results for the Algebra End-of-Year Course (EOC) exam for the middle school students (Lauderdale Lakes) showed 35 out of 39 students (89.7%) scored sufficiently to pass this requirement; thus, the success criterion for this objective (75%) was met.

Results for the Algebra End-of-Year Course (EOC) exam for the high school students (Coconut Creek) showed 22 out of 44 students (50.0%) scored sufficiently to pass this requirement; thus, the success criterion for this objective (75%) was not met.

5. Objective 5: By the end of the program year, 80% of regularly participating students will graduate within their 4-year cohort. The success criterion assigned to this objective is students earn a standard high school diploma within their 4-year cohort.

Results for the 4-year graduation cohort for the high school students (Coconut Creek) showed 11 out of 11 students (100.0%) met this requirement; thus, the success criterion for this objective (80%) was met.

Goal 2: Enhance Behavior and Problem Solving

6. Objective 6: By the end of the program year, 85% of regularly participating students will maintain high performance or improve their disciplinary problems as measured by school/district records. The success criterion assigned to this objective was “at least 85% of regularly participating student will not have an out of school suspension or will have reduced their out of school suspensions from the first and second quarter to the third and last quarter.”

Out of school suspension (OSS) district records from the end of the year (last semester) were lower than the beginning of the year (first semester) for 115 of 124 regularly participating middle school students (Lauderdale Lakes); thus, 92.7% of students met this objective and the criterion (85%) was met.

Out of school suspension (OSS) district records from the end of the year (last semester) were lower than the beginning of the year (first semester) for 70 of 72 regularly participating high school students (Coconut Creek); thus, 97.2% of students met this objective and the criterion (85%) was met.

Goal 3: Improve Dropout Prevention & College / Career Readiness (Middle/High programs)

7. Objective 7: By the end of the program year, 80% of regularly participating students will report their engagement in career exploration as measured by perceptual survey (student).

By year's end, 76 out of 105 middle school students (Lauderdale Lakes) reported they had explored or selected a career; thus, 72.4% of students met this objective and the criterion (80%) was not met.

By year's end, 13 out of 17 high school students (Coconut Creek) reported they had explored or selected a career; thus, 76.5% of students met this objective and the criterion (80%) was not met.

8. Objective 8: By the end of the program year, 90% of regularly participating students will maintain high performance or improve their attendance as measured by school/district records. The success criterion assigned to this objective was "at least 90% of students will have less than five unexcused absences (10 absences trigger RTI intervention for truancy).

By year's end, 67 out of 126 middle school students (Lauderdale Lakes) recorded more than 10 regular school day absences (unexcused absences were not recorded); thus, 53.2% of students met this objective and the criterion (90%) was not met.

By year's end, 35 out of 61 high school students (Coconut Creek) recorded more than 10 regular school day absences (unexcused absences were not recorded); thus, 57.4% of students met this objective and the criterion (90%) was not met.

Goal 5: Increase Parental Involvement

9. Objective 10: By the end of the program year, 65% of participating family members will increase their knowledge (in a specified area) as measured by perceptual survey (parent).

The evaluator created a parent survey to be administered at the close of each parent event. At the conclusion of the 21st CCLC events, parents were asked to complete the evaluative questionnaire. Item 2 on the survey stated, “As a result of attending this workshop/parent event, my skills and knowledge of the topic(s) covered have improved.” The response scale was a 4-point Likert scale (Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree).

For parents of middle school students (Lauderdale Lakes), 56 of 56 parents reported a knowledge increase as a result of the event; thus, 100% of parents met this objective and the criterion (65%) was met.

For parents of high school students (Coconut Creek), 2 of 2 parents reported a knowledge increase as a result of the event; thus, 100% of parents met this objective and the criterion (65%) was met.

5.4 Other Findings

To monitor progress and refine program activities, projects are required by the United States Department of Education (USDE) to communicate, distribute, and collect parent, teacher, and student surveys as part of the 21st CCLC project requirements. Stakeholder feedback is intended to facilitate the continuous improvement process; therefore, results should enable the identification of successful aspects of the program and areas in need of improvement for further discussion.

Parent surveys were created to ascertain parent opinions on issues related to staffing, activities, operations, site administration communication, child’s happiness with the program, and behaviors related to the federal reporting criteria. As stakeholders, parents are key indicators of whether the program is facilitating basic communication of goals and objectives. Their opinions indicate whether key federal reporting criteria are being met such as quality homework completion, student’s ability to get along with others, and students staying out of trouble.

Parent Surveys

Overall, 139 parents completed the parent survey. Most respondents were female (62.3%) and a majority identified their race as African American or Black-Not Hispanic (83.1%), followed by biracial/multiracial (6.6%), Hispanic (5.1%), Asian (1.5), White Non-Hispanic (1.5%), other (1.5%), and finally American Indian or Alaskan Native (0.7%). A majority of parents responding

indicated they had one child attending the program (77.5%), followed by two children (16.3%), and then three or more children (6.2%). Many parents responding to the parent survey (30.3%) reported they had attended a family night event, and almost all (39.7%) reported the parent nights were beneficial.

When asked about the program as a whole most parents (94.9%) were satisfied or very satisfied. Only one (0.7%) of the survey respondents indicated that they were not satisfied. Parents were also satisfied or very satisfied with the overall warmth and friendliness of the staff (88.5%), staff's ability to work with their child (89.7%), and staff's ability to relate well to them as parents (82.0%).

Many parents reported some level of satisfaction with the variety of activities offered to their children (85.1%) and the safety of the program environment (91.1%). A majority of parents also indicated their children were completing their homework (87.1%), and they were progressing academically (89.1%). Socially, parents strongly agreed or agreed their children were learning to get along with others (87.6%) and staying out of trouble because of their child's program participation (95.7%). Most parents (80.3%) agreed or strongly agreed that the program helped them become more involved in their child's education. Overall, many parents (89.7%) felt their children were happy in the program.

Most responding parents reported they would sign their child up in the program again if it were offered next year (82.0%). Given the scenario that program services would no longer be available, many respondents indicated their children would be home alone (38.4%), followed by being cared for by a parent (21.7%), participating in a different afterschool program (15.2%), cared for by a sibling (8.7%), cared for by a friend or neighbor (6.5%), cared for by another relative (5.8%), or other (3.6%).

Teacher Surveys

Regular school day teacher surveys are a required federal reporting component utilized to ascertain student school-related behavior changes during the regular school day. As such, teacher's opinions indicate the extent of program impact on other areas of the student's life. Regular school day teachers completed the 14-question survey for 169 unduplicated, regularly participating students.

The following percentages represent the proportions of students identified by teachers as "improved" or "did not need to improve" on the respective survey items: students turning in their

homework on time (78.1%), quality of homework turned in (78.1%), improved class participation (79.9%), improvement for volunteerism in the classroom (75.7%), attending class regularly (82.7%), being attentive in class (79.3%), and behaving well in class (79.3%). Teachers also indicated “improved” or “did not need to improve” on academic performance (82.8%), students coming to school motivated to learn (76.9%), getting along well with other students (81.7%), and improvement in student self-efficacy - belief they can do well in school (78.6%). Teachers indicated “improved” or “did not need to improve” on parents’ interest and involvement in their child’s schooling (65.9%).

Student Surveys

Eight items on the student survey were common to both elementary and middle school students. These items were rated on a three-point Likert scale: “definitely,” “somewhat,” or “not at all.” A total of 149 students in 6th through 12th grade completed the survey. As shown in Table 21, each of the eight aspects related to their program was viewed in a very positive light. The items with the most positive responses were the items indicating students feeling safe in the afterschool program and help in understanding that following rules is important.

Table 21. Student Survey Results.

Survey Question	☺	☹	☹
	Definitely	Somewhat	Not at all
	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>
	(%)	(%)	(%)
Did you enjoy the activities in your afterschool program?	75 (52.4)	64 (44.8)	4 (2.8)
Did your afterschool program have adults who care about you?	106 (74.1)	36 (25.2)	1 (0.7)
Did you feel safe at your afterschool program?	99 (71.7)	37 (26.8)	2 (1.4)
Did your afterschool program help you get along well with others?	73 (51.8)	59 (41.8)	9 (6.4)
Did your afterschool program help you understand that following rules is important?	105 (73.9)	35 (24.6)	2 (1.4)
Did your afterschool program help you solve problems in a positive way?	77 (53.8)	58 (40.6)	8 (5.6)

Survey Question	☺	☹	☹
	Definitely	Somewhat	Not at all
	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>
	(%)	(%)	(%)
Did your afterschool program help you with your homework?	82 (58.2)	51 (36.2)	8 (5.7)
Did your afterschool program help you improve your grades?	75 (53.2)	51 (36.2)	15 (10.6)

Middle and high school students in 6th thru 8th grade completed an additional 4 scaled items. As shown in Table 22, their responses were generally favorable about the afterschool program helping the student to understand the importance of setting goals, how to make career choices, why doing drugs is wrong, and violence is wrong.

Table 22. Middle and High School Student Survey Results.

Survey Question	☺	☹	☹	☹
	Definitely	Somewhat	Not at all- Didn't Talk About	Not at all- Talked About Not Helpful
	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Did your afterschool program help you understand setting goals is important?	81 (57.0)	42 (29.6)	13 (9.2)	6 (4.2)
Did your afterschool program help you understand how to make career choices?	68 (47.9)	62 (43.7)	5 (3.5)	7 (4.9)
Did your afterschool program help you understand that doing drugs is wrong?	82 (59.0)	32 (23.0)	3 (2.2)	22 (15.8)
Did your afterschool program help you understand that violence is wrong?	92 (65.7)	32 (22.9)	1 (0.7)	15 (10.7)

The middle and high school survey also asked students, “If you were not in your afterschool program between 3:00 PM and 6:00 PM, who would you usually be with?” The student responses were: adult (45.1%), older sibling (36.1%), friends (39.6%), alone by myself (45.1%). Students were also asked what they would be doing between 3:00pm and 6:00pm. The student responses

were: hanging out by myself (45.8%), hanging out with friends (52.8%), entertainment activities – such as watching TV (68.1%), activities planned by adults (33.3%), helping out around the house (41.0%), studying or working on homework (59.7%), and working at a job (12.5%), volunteering in my neighborhood (7.6%).

5.5 Student Success Snapshot

The student selected for this snapshot was a 10th grader at Coconut Creek High School who attended the program for 81 days during the academic school year. This student represented the 21st Century program at the SAC meeting by present safety information about drowning that they learned in the program (S.P.U.D.). When asked about how the program helped them, they indicated that the one-on-one tutoring sessions with the math teacher, Mrs. Johnson were helpful in preparing them to pass the Algebra 1 End of Course exam.

The student liked being part of a social group and having opportunities to participate in personal enrichment activities. When asked about any changes they would suggest for the program, the student stated that they would like more vegetarian meals. When asked if afterschool taught them something they did not know from the regular school day, the student indicated that they learned about the “Woman’s Rights Movement and how long it took them to get to where they are now.” Finally, the student shared that “the afterschool program is a good place to get your homework and problems solved before going home. You don’t have to worry about solving them later.”

5.6 Overall Findings for Each Objective

The overall findings were covered objective by objective in Section 5.1.

6.0 PROGRESS TOWARD SUSTAINABILITY

6.1 Partners

As shown in Table 23, Broward County Public Schools provided large contributions in facilities and personnel to service the centers, along with financial support for center overhead and professional development for project personnel.

Table 23. Partnerships and Sub-Contracts.

Agency Name	Type of Organization	Sub-Contractor (Yes/No)	Type of Service Provided
Broward Education Foundation	OTH	No	Financial Support for tutoring, mentoring, and service delivery
USDA National School Lunch Program	OTH	No	Afterschool Dinner and Snack
Dr. John Enger	FPO	Yes	Evaluation Services
Coconut Creek Elementary	SD	No	Facilities
Lauderdale Lakes Middle School	SD	No	Facilities
Common Threads	CBO	No	Preventative health curriculum and Family Night event activities for parents
Aim for Success	CBO	No	Financial Literacy curriculum and Family Night event activities for parents

6.2. New Partners

Commons Threads and Aim for Success were identified by District Administration to provide nutrition education and financial literacy to both students and parents during Family Night events.

6.3. Partner Upkeep

A dedicated staff member was assigned to work with all local partners within the District to keep abreast of project needs and achievements. Center level administrative staff kept detailed student attendance logs to ensure proper snack/dinner counts were available to support the USDA Afterschool Dinner and Snack program required documentation.

6.4 Partner Contributions

Each center location offered access afterschool to the appropriate facilities needed for implementation of the program to include: classrooms, cafeterias, gymnasiums, computer labs, and libraries.

The dedicated staff member met with the Broward Education Foundation to quarterly to ensure that items such as supplies, support materials, and non-federally funded items were covered within each District program. Program administration was provided direct funding and access to mini-grant applications to support need areas. It is estimated that the BEF provided \$2,000 of support funds to assist the projects with daily operations.

The USDA National School Lunch program was utilized to provide afterschool snacks and dinner at each center location. School Food and Nutrition Services staff provided technical assistance on the proper documentation needed for cost reimbursement of provided snacks and meals.

Common Threads brings health and wellness activities through cooking and nutrition education. Common Threads worked with students to facilitate nutrition education and they worked with parents to reinforce the nutrition components through Family Night events.

Aim for Success was added to provide financial literacy lessons for students and their families. The Aim for Success curriculum includes credit and debt management, financial management life skills, and investment fundamentals. The curriculum was presented to students and reinforced at Family Night events.

7.0 LESSONS LEARNED AND RECOMMENDATIONS

21st CCLC program impact

- Students served in the afterschool programs were afforded a very positive learning environment in contrast to many of these students being left home alone during these afterschool hours.

Lessons Learned

- In the Coconut Creek High School homework session, the students really worked!
- The Coconut Creek High School program showed good use of outside sources, particularly in the selection of the speaker for the afternoon.
- These Coconut Creek High School students were respectful of one another; they were well behaved.

- The Coconut Creek High School Site Coordinator, Dr. Johnson-Coote has fostered some meaningful partnerships for the 21st CCLC afterschool program, namely ROTC and the Twilight Program.
- The Lauderdale Lakes Middle program supports a large, energetic group of middle school students.
- The Lauderdale Lakes Middle program is structured to have adequate numbers of teachers and TAs for supervision and instruction.
- The four-group option (marine biology, pre-medicine, aerospace, and engineering) seems to work well with Lauderdale Lakes Middle students having the opportunity to select.
- The 21st CCLC afterschool program appears to be a valued resource at Lauderdale Lakes Middle School with both teacher and administrative support.

Recommendations

1. The District Office might encourage the Coconut Creek High School administration to emphasize 21st CCLC afterschool program opportunities to parents expressing a desire for help for their children. (The Main Office has heard such inquiries from parents and could be more proactive with their response and by displaying recruitment information.)
2. Since the Coconut Creek High School current partnerships seem very beneficial to both parties, additional partnerships might be explored.
3. The current Coconut Creek High School schedule could be much more informative by including:
 - a. The names of teachers responsible for the various activities.
 - b. The locations of the various activities.
 - c. Ensuring that the topics and time allotted in the schedule satisfies the requirements of the grant objectives.
4. Check the Lauderdale Lakes Middle schedule to ensure that the coverage there adequately covers what is called for in the program objectives.
5. Purge the Lauderdale Lakes Middle enrollment list to be more reflective of this year's enrollment.
6. Review the number of days Lauderdale Lakes Middle teachers are working and load they are carrying to guard against teacher burnout.